Plant Load Factor of State Electricity Boards

1190. SHRl KAPIL VERMA;
SHRIMATI KAILASHPATI:
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:

Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state;

(a) what is the improvement Or deterioration in P. L. F. of various State Electricity Boards during the last one year as compared to previous year and the reasons for variation; and

(b) what are the losses incurred by the Electricity Board last year and the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRI BABANRAO DHAKANE); (a) The Plant Load Factor of various State Electricity Boards during 1988-89 and 1989-90 is given below: —

Tear	PLF(%)
1988-89	51. 6
1989-90	50.
0	

Performance of a thermal power station depends upon a number of factors including planned maintenance; forced outage, System load conditions, age of the plant, quality and quantity of coal, system demand, etc. However hydro generation depends upon the availability of water in the reservoir.

(b) The State Electricity Boards suff&red a net loss of Rs. 597. 94 crores after taking into account the Rural Electrification Subsidy for the financial year ending March 1989 due to various reasons such as low agricultural tariff, absence of equity in their capital structure, inadequate internal resources, non-payment of RE subsidy by the State Governments, high T and D losses, arrears in revenue collection, high establishment costs, etc.

Financial cut to the allocation of funds grants made by the Ministry of H. R. D.

1191. SHRI M. A BABY: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the present Govern ment have imposed any financial cut in the allocation of funds/grants made earlier for the current year for his Ministry;

(b) if po, what are the details thereof; organisation/department-wise, which are likely to be adversely affect ed thereby; and

(c) what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI BHAGEY GOBARDHAN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Opening of Regional Offices for the Kendriya Vidyalayas

1192. SHRI M. A. BABY: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DE VELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that when the number of Kendriya Vidyalayas reached 450, Government had decided to set up regional offices at the rate of one for every 30 Kendriya Vidyalayas; and

(b) if so, what are the reasons for not opening more regional offices despite the number of Kendriya Vidyalayas reaching 744 as on date?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RES OURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI BHAGEY GOBARDHAN): (a) and The Board of Governors of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan had approved in 1972 for assigning 25 t_0 30 schools under the charge of each regional As sistant Commissioner. The Review Committee on the Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan in its report recommended

inter alia that the maximum number of Kendriya Vidyalayas within a region of the Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan should not be more than 50. However, after taking all factors including financial constraints into consideration, no more regional offices have been opened.

Fixing of tenure of Vice-Principals and Principals of Kendriya Vidyalayas

1193. SHRI T. CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY- Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have decided to fix the tenures of Vice-Principals and Principals of Kendriya Vidylayas at a station, while no such tenure has been fixed for teachers;

(b) whether it is also a fact that this decision was withdrawn by Government in December, 1988 and subsequently the same has been re-introduced by Government in August, 1990; and

(c) whether Government propose to review its decision to make it performance based rather than tenure-based?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOMENT (SHRI BHA-GEY GOBARDHAN): (a) and (b) The Board of Governors in its 52nd meeting held on 27-12-1988 decided that there will be no fixed tenure. However, the Board of Governors in its 54th meeting held on 22-8-1990 decided to revive the tenure only of Vice-Principals and above.

(c) No, Sir.

Central Administrative Tribunal forthe Employees of Kendriya VidyalayaSangathan

1194. SHRl M. A. BABY: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state. -

(a) whether Government have dec!-, ded t_0 bring the employees of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan under the jurisdiction of Central Administrative Tribunal; and

(b) if so, what ar_e details thereof and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI BHA-GEY GOBARDHAN): (a) and (b) No, Sir. The employees of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan have not been brought under the Central Administrative Tribunal because the jurisdiction of Central Administrative Tribunal has not been extended generally to employees of all corporation/societies owned or controlled by the Government of India, keeping in view the work load which Central Administrative Tribunal is equipped to take on.

Land Acquired by NTPC at Shakti-nagar in U. P.

1195. CHOWDHRY HARI SINGH: Will the Minister of ENERGY be plea-sed to state;

(a) how much land was acquired by N. T. P. C. for Super Thermal Power Station at Shaktinagar in Sonbhadra District of Uttar Pradesh and

(b) the amount paid towards com pensation to the cultivators for acquir ing their land?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRI BABANRAO DHAKANE): (a) A total of 4349/919 acres of land was acquired out of which 2018. 978 acres was private land.

(b) A sum of Rs. 1, 55, 03, 522. 63 as demanded by the State authorities as compensation t_0 be distributed to the private land owners for acquiring their land, was paid by the NTPC to the State Government.